

S.No.	Information sought	Reply
1.	PDF Copy of background papers for initiation of NAT necessitating self nomination (such self nomination is against natural principles by concentrating more on award than at actual work.	The guidelines of the scheme of National Award to Teachers were revised in the year 2018 with the approval of Hon'ble HRM in which online self-nominations from teachers were invited on mhrd.gov.in . The new scheme is transparent, fair, and rewards demonstrated excellence and performance, and it has been inspired by recent innovations in major national awards. A copy of the revised guideline is attached.
2.	PDF Copy of notesheets for approval of procedure of self nomination by teacher himself hunting for award by getting distracted from teaching work	PDF copy of notesheet is attached.
3.	PDF Copy of agenda, proceeding, finalizing criteria of reduction of objective criteria of evaluation to be merely 20 percent and unduly increasing performance criteria to excessively high upto 80 percent (motivating undeserving teachers to win the award by manipulation and the best deserving teachers to win the award by manipulation and the best deserving teachers in the dark by having objective criteria to be mere 20 percent) such information needing disclosure in wide public interest under section 8(2), 7(9)	The guidelines were revised by a Committee of guidelines which was setup with the approval of Secretary (SE&L). Beside on the report of the Committee the guidelines were revised in the year 2018.
4.	PDF Copy of further break up of 8 points in the objective criteria of NAT esp (point 2,7,8 2-Publication, Research papers/Articles in international/national journals with ISSN, Books with ISBN etc.) in the last 5 years, 7 – Whether the teacher is enrolled for any course under SWAYAM or any other MOOCS platform 8 – Development of e-contents, textbooks, teacher handbooks for SCERTs, Boards or NCERT	

5.	PDF copy of CAG performance audit remarks made in the last five years over such vague criteria adopted by keeping objective criteria weightage very low to 20 percentage.	
6.	If pdf copy not compiled, copy of exemption given under section 4(1)(a) from digitizing, indexing, cataloging records since 2005 in last 14 years by competent authority	
7.	Kindly provide information pointwise by complying para-12,13 of DoPT Guide for CPIO (2008) – attached without directing me to visit website without giving specific url.	