

Table Showing Grades Awarded on the basis of the Second Review to 13 institutions originally placed in Category B

S. No.	Name	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Score	Remarks
1. (86)	Jain Vishwa Bharti Institute, Ladnun, Rajasthan	B	B	B	A	B	A	B	B	B	31	
2. (72)	Narsee Monjee Institute of Management Studies, Mumbai	C	C	A	B	B	B	B	B	A	27	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chancellor's position is not in conformity with UGC regulations; NAAC accreditation of the Institute is outdated; There has been some improvement in matters relating to faculty, PhD output and publication, but the improvement is not significant enough for an upward change of grade.
3. (117)	B S Abdur Rahman Institute of Science & technology, Kancheepuram											<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Institute presented evidence of having been granted minority status in March 2012 by the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions. It may therefore be clarified whether the UGC Deemed University Regulations 2010 are applicable to this institute.
4. (73)	Dr. D Y Patil Vidyapeeth, Pune	C	F	B	A	B	A	B	A	B	28	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research's output is not commensurate with the increase in faculty strength; Even with its proposed future plans for diversification the institute will fall short of the idea of a University.
5. (110)	Hindustan institute of Technology and Science, Padur	F	B	B	C	B	B	B	A	B	24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no evidence of any significant improvement since the time of the last review in any of the parameters used for this evaluation; Additionally the institute seems to have lost the NAAC accreditation which was

Pa

Table Showing Grades Awarded on the basis of the Second Review to 13 institutions originally placed in Category B

												awarded to it in 2009.
6. (54)	Swami Vivekananda Yoga Anusandhan Samsthana, Bangalore	B	B	B	B	B	A	A	B	A	33	
7. (24)	Gandigram Rular Institute, Gandhigram	B	B	A	A	B	A	A	B	B	35	
8. (75)	Datta Meghe Institute of Health Sciences, Nagpur	C	B	A	A	B	B	B	B	A	31	
9. (67)	Gokhale Institute of Politics & Economics, Pune	F	F	A	B	A	B	A	C	B	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no evidence of significant improvement in any of the parameters to justify any upward change of grade.
10. (43)	ICFAI Foundation for Higher Education, Hyderabad	F	F	B	B	A	B	C	A	A	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no evidence of significant improvement in any of the parameters to justify any upward change of grade.
11. (18)	Defence Institute of Advanced Technology, Pune	B	B	B	B	B	A	A	B	A	33	
12. (13)	ABV Indian Institute of Information Technology & Management Studies, Gwalior	C	C	A	B	A	A	B	B	A	31	
13. (92)	Sri Chandrasekharendra saraswathi Vishwa Mahavidyalaya, Kancheepuram	F	F	B	C	B	B	B	B	B	19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not only has the institute not shown any improvement, it has even deteriorated in some respects; Its publication record is extremely poor; Although the faculty strength has increased, only 31 out of 215 faculty members have Ph D.

2340

P