

**Ministry of Human Resource Development
Department of School Education & Literacy
Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi – 110115**

Addendum No. 1 to Request for Proposal (RFP) for Review/Appraisal of Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) reg.

Pursuant to clause 4.2 of the RFP document, the following would be the modifications to the RFP document for Review/Appraisal of Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA):

Clause No.	For	Read
9.5	FINANCIAL BID: The Financial Bid shall be prepared using the attached Standard Forms (Section-4). It shall list all costs associated with the Assignment/job, including (a) remuneration for staff and (b) reimbursable expenses indicated in the Part-II of Section-2 (Data sheet). If appropriate, these costs should be broken down by activity. The financial bid shall not include any conditions attached to it and any such conditional financial proposal shall be rejected summarily.	The words “ and (b) reimbursable expenses ” stand deleted
14.4.3	After opening of financial bids, the QCBS selection method shall be applied as mentioned below to determine the consultant who will be declared winner and be eligible for award of the contract.	The Financial bids of the bidders who get technical score of 60 or above would be opened and marked L1, L2,... in ascending order of the price quoted. The contract shall normally be awarded to the lowest evaluated bidder whose bid has been found to be responsive and who is eligible / qualified to perform the contract satisfactorily as per the terms and conditions incorporated in the RFP.
14.4.4	The lowest evaluated Financial bid (Fm) will be given the maximum financial score (Sf) of 100 points. The financial scores (Sf) of the other Financial bids will be computed as indicated in the Data Sheet. Bids will be ranked according to their combined Technical (St) and Financial (Sf) scores using the weights (T = the weight given to the Technical bids; F = the weight given to the Financial bids; T + F = 1) indicated in the Data Sheet: $S = St \times T\% + Sf \times F\%$.	Clause stands deleted
Sl. No. 12 of Part-II (Data Sheet)	Evaluation Criteria: Criteria, sub-criteria, for evaluation of Technical Proposals would be as under: The Consultancy Evaluation Committee appointed by MHRD will carry out its evaluation applying the evaluation criteria and point system specified below. Each responsive proposal will be assigned a Technical Score points as per Appendix-I . The minimum Technical Score (St) which a Bidder is required to obtain for making the Bidder eligible for opening of Financial Bid and evaluation will be 70 points.	Evaluation Criteria: Criteria, sub-criteria, for evaluation of Technical Proposals would be as under: The Consultancy Evaluation Committee appointed by MHRD will carry out its evaluation applying the evaluation criteria specified below: Each responsive proposal will be assigned a Technical Score as per Appendix-I . The Financial Evaluation will be carried out in respect of only those Bidders who score 60 and

	<p>Quality and competence of the Bidder in executing the Assignment/job shall be considered as the paramount requirement. The Financial Evaluation will be carried out in respect of only those Bidders which scores 70 and above of the total St. points out of 100 points earmarked for Technical Evaluation. Financial Proposal of Bidders scoring less than 70 points will not be considered and their Sealed Financial Bid will remain unopened/intact.</p> <p>Financial Bids</p> <p>While evaluating the Financial Bids of those Bidders who have secured 70 and above out of 100 points earmarked for Technical Evaluation, the Evaluation Committee will first determine if the Financial Bid of the Bidder is complete and without computational errors. The lowest financial bid (Fm) will be given a financial score (Sf) of 100 points. The financial scores of all the bids will be computed as follows:</p> <p>$Sf = 100 \times Fm / F$ (Sf is the Financial Score, Fm is the lowest price and F is the price of the Bid under consideration).</p> <p>The weights given to the Technical & Financial bids are: T = 60 & F = 40</p> <p>Final Evaluation (Combined Technical & Financial bids) will finally be done according to the combined technical (St) and financial (Sf) scores using a weight of 60 for technical bids and 40 for financial bid, as under: $S = St \times 0.6 + Sf \times 0.4$</p>	<p>above out of 100 points earmarked for Technical Evaluation. Financial Proposal of Bidders scoring less than 60 points will not be considered and their Sealed Financial Bid will remain unopened/intact.</p> <p>Financial Bids</p> <p>While evaluating the Financial Bids of those Bidders who have secured 60 and above out of 100 points earmarked for Technical Evaluation, the Evaluation Committee will first determine if the Financial Bid of the Bidder is complete and without computational errors. Thereafter, the Financial bids would be marked L1, L2,... in ascending order of the price quoted. The contract shall normally be awarded to the lowest evaluated bidder whose bid has been found to be responsive and who is eligible / qualified to perform the contract satisfactorily as per the terms and conditions incorporated in the RFP.</p>
Sl. No. 13 of Part-II (Data Sheet)	<p>Award of contract: Based on the above Evaluation Criteria for Technical & Financial Proposal & Final Evaluation, the Bidder securing the highest score will be selected for Award of the Consultancy Work.</p>	<p>Award of contract: The Financial bids of the bidders who get technical score of 60 or above would be opened and marked L1, L2,... in ascending order of the price quoted. The contract shall normally be awarded to the lowest evaluated bidder whose bid has been found to be responsive and who is eligible / qualified to perform the contract satisfactorily as per the terms and conditions incorporated in the RFP.</p>
Appendix-I of RFP	<p>D. Minimum Score:- To qualify for opening of financial bid, the Applicant must have a minimum score of 70.</p>	<p>D. Minimum Score:- To qualify for opening of financial bid, the Applicant must have a score of 60 and above.</p>
Clause 1.1 (l) of part II General conditions of contract	<p>“Reimbursable expenses” means all assignment-related costs [such as travel, report printing, secretarial expenses, subject to specified maximum limits in the Contract].</p>	<p>Clause stands deleted</p>
Clause 3.11.5 (a) of contract agreement	<p>If the Contract is terminated pursuant to Clause 3.11.1.1(g) & (h) or clause 3.11.2, remuneration pursuant to Clause GC7.3(h) (i) hereof for Services satisfactorily</p>	<p>If the Contract is terminated pursuant to Clause 3.11.1.1(g) & (h) or clause 3.11.2, remuneration pursuant to Clause GC7.3(h) (i) hereof for</p>

	performed prior to the effective date of termination, and reimbursable expenditures pursuant to Clause GC 7.3(h)(ii) hereof for expenditures actually and reasonably incurred prior to the effective date of termination;	Services satisfactorily performed and expenditures actually and reasonably incurred prior to the effective date of termination;
Clause 6.2 of contract agreement	If, after the date of this Contract, there is any change in the Applicable Laws of India with respect to taxes and duties, which are directly payable by the consultant for providing the services i.e. service tax or any such applicable tax from time to time, which increases or decreases the cost incurred by the Consultant in performing the Services, then the remuneration and reimbursable expenses otherwise payable to the Consultant under this Contract shall be increased or decreased accordingly by agreement between the Parties hereto, and corresponding adjustments shall be made to the ceiling amounts specified in Clause GC 7.1(b).	The Words “ and reimbursable expenses otherwise ” stand deleted.
Clause 7.3 (h) (ii) of contract agreement	A reasonable assessment of the reimbursable and miscellaneous expenses shall be made based on details furnished by the consultant in this regard with supporting documents and based on the assessment of the work done and the respective rates as provided. Wherever such an assessment is difficult, the rates should be arrived at by calculating the amount on pro-rata basis.	The clause stands deleted

Nazli J. Shayin
Director (RMSA-II)
Deptt. of School Education & Literacy
Ministry of HRD